ORDER SHEET West Bengal Administrative Tribunal

Present.-

The Hon'ble Justice Ranjit Kumar Bag, &

The Hon'ble Dr. Subesh Kumar Das

Case No. OA 576 of 2017

Serial No. and date of order Order of the Tribunal with signature Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary. 1 2 08 255/04/2019 For the Applicant Mr. M.K. Sanyal, Ld. Advocate. 3 For the State Respondent : Mr. S. Chakraborty, Ld. Advocate. 3 For the A.G., W.B. Mr. B. Mitra, Depttl. Representative. 3 The applicant has prayed for direction upon the respondents for disbursement of her share of retirement benefits of her deceased father. 1 It appears from the materials on record that one Asit Kumar Sarkar died on January 01, 2016 while he was working as permanent government employce in the establishment of respondent no. 3, Block Development Officer, Beldanga-II, Murshidabad. Asit Kumar Sarkar died leaving behind 4 legal heirs namely wife Jyotsna Sarkar, son Atanu Sarkar, married daughter Champa Sarkar and married daughter Sampa Sarkar Das (who happens to be the applicant of the present application). Mr. Sanyal, Learned Counsel for the applicant contends that the applicant is entitled to get 1/4 th share of the amount of General Provident Fund and the amount of Death Gratuity of his deceased father. On the other hand, Mr. Mitra, the Departmental Representative of the respondent A.G., W.B. has relied on his report and submitted that only the wife and the	Sampa Sarkar Das Versus The State of We		st Bengal & Ors.
08 25/04/2019 For the State Respondent : Mr. S. Chakraborty, Ld. Advocate. For the State Respondent : Mr. S. Chakraborty, Ld. Advocate. For the A.G., W.B. : Mr. B. Mitra, Depttl. Representative. The applicant has prayed for direction upon the respondents for disbursement of her share of retirement benefits of her deceased father. It appears from the materials on record that one Asit Kumar Sarkar died on January 01, 2016 while he was working as permanent government employee in the establishment of respondent no. 3, Block Development Officer, Beldanga-II, Murshidabad. Asit Kumar Sarkar died leaving behind 4 legal heirs namely wife Jyotsna Sarkar, son Atanu Sarkar, married daughter Champa Sarkar and married daughter Sampa Sarkar Das (who happens to be the applicant of the present application). Mr. Sanyal, Learned Counsel for the applicant contends that the applicant is entitled to get 1/4 th share of the amount of General Provident Fund and the amount of Death Gratuity of his deceased father. On the other hand, Mr. Mitra, the Departmental Representative of the respondent A.G., W.B. has relied		with signature	and dated signature of
08 Ld. Advocate. 25/04/2019 For the State Respondent : Mr. S. Chakraborty, Ld. Advocate. For the A.G., W.B. : Mr. B. Mirra, Depttl. Representative. The applicant has prayed for direction upon the respondents for disbursement of her share of retirement benefits of her deceased father. It appears from the materials on record that one Asit Kumar Sarkar died on January 01, 2016 while he was working as permanent government employee in the establishment of respondent no. 3, Block Development Officer, Beldanga-II, Murshidabad. Asit Kumar Sarkar died leaving behind 4 legal heirs namely wife Jyotsna Sarkar, son Atanu Sarkar, married daughter Champa Sarkar and married daughter Sampa Sarkar Das (who happens to be the applicant of the present application). Mr. Sanyal, Learned Counsel for the applicant contends that the applicant is entitled to get 1/4 th share of the amount of General Provident Fund and the amount of Death Gratuity of his deceased father. On the other hand, Mr. Mitra, the Departmental Representative of the respondent A.G., W.B. has relied	1		3
For the State Respondent :Mr. S. Chakraborty, I.d. Advocate.For the A.G., W.B.:Mr. B. Mitra, Depttl. Representative.The applicant has prayed for direction upon the respondents for disbursement of her share of retirement benefits of her deceased father.It appears from the materials on record that one Asit Kumar Sarkar died on January 01, 2016 while he was working as permanent government employee in the establishment of respondent no. 3, Block Development Officer, Beldanga-II, Murshidabad. Asit Kumar Sarkar died leaving behind 4 legal heirs namely wife Jyotsna Sarkar, son Atanu Sarkar, married daughter Champa Sarkar and married daughter Sampa Sarkar Das (who happens to be the applicant of the present application). Mr. Sanyal, Learned Counsel for the applicant contends that the applicant is entitled to get 1/4th share of the amount of General Provident Fund and the amount of Death Gratuity of his deceased father. On the other hand, Mr. Mitra, the Departmental Representative of the respondent A.G., W.B. has relied			
Deptil. Representative. The applicant has prayed for direction upon the respondents for disbursement of her share of retirement benefits of her deceased father. It appears from the materials on record that one Asit Kumar Sarkar died on January 01, 2016 while he was working as permanent government employee in the establishment of respondent no. 3, Block Development Officer, Beldanga-II, Murshidabad. Asit Kumar Sarkar died leaving behind 4 legal heirs namely wife Jyotsna Sarkar, son Atanu Sarkar, married daughter Champa Sarkar and married daughter Sampa Sarkar Das (who happens to be the applicant of the present application). Mr. Sanyal, Learned Counsel for the applicant contends that the applicant is entitled to get 1/4 th share of the amount of General Provident Fund and the amount of Death Gratuity of his deceased father. On the other hand, Mr. Mitra, the Departmental Representative of the respondent A.G., W.B. has relied			
respondents for disbursement of her share of retirement benefits of her deceased father. It appears from the materials on record that one Asit Kumar Sarkar died on January 01, 2016 while he was working as permanent government employee in the establishment of respondent no. 3, Block Development Officer, Beldanga-II, Murshidabad. Asit Kumar Sarkar died leaving behind 4 legal heirs namely wife Jyotsna Sarkar, son Atanu Sarkar, married daughter Champa Sarkar and married daughter Sampa Sarkar Das (who happens to be the applicant of the present application). Mr. Sanyal, Learned Counsel for the applicant contends that the applicant is entitled to get 1/4 th share of the amount of General Provident Fund and the amount of Death Gratuity of his deceased father. On the other hand, Mr. Mitra, the Departmental Representative of the respondent A.G., W.B. has relied			
benefits of her deceased father. It appears from the materials on record that one Asit Kumar Sarkar died on January 01, 2016 while he was working as permanent government employee in the establishment of respondent no. 3, Block Development Officer, Beldanga-II, Murshidabad. Asit Kumar Sarkar died leaving behind 4 legal heirs namely wife Jyotsna Sarkar, son Atanu Sarkar, married daughter Champa Sarkar and married daughter Sampa Sarkar Das (who happens to be the applicant of the present application). Mr. Sanyal, Learned Counsel for the applicant contends that the applicant is entitled to get 1/4 th share of the amount of General Provident Fund and the amount of Death Gratuity of his deceased father. On the other hand, Mr. Mitra, the Departmental Representative of the respondent A.G., W.B. has relied		The applicant has prayed for direction upon the	
It appears from the materials on record that one Asit Kumar Sarkar died on January 01, 2016 while he was working as permanent government employee in the establishment of respondent no. 3, Block Development Officer, Beldanga-II, Murshidabad. Asit Kumar Sarkar died leaving behind 4 legal heirs namely wife Jyotsna Sarkar, son Atanu Sarkar, married daughter Champa Sarkar and married daughter Sampa Sarkar Das (who happens to be the applicant of the present application). Mr. Sanyal, Learned Counsel for the applicant contends that the applicant is entitled to get 1/4 th share of the amount of General Provident Fund and the amount of Death Gratuity of his deceased father. On the other hand, Mr. Mitra, the Departmental Representative of the respondent A.G., W.B. has relied		respondents for disbursement of her share of retirement	
Asit Kumar Sarkar died on January 01, 2016 while he was working as permanent government employee in the establishment of respondent no. 3, Block Development Officer, Beldanga-II, Murshidabad. Asit Kumar Sarkar died leaving behind 4 legal heirs namely wife Jyotsna Sarkar, son Atanu Sarkar, married daughter Champa Sarkar and married daughter Sampa Sarkar Das (who happens to be the applicant of the present application). Mr. Sanyal, Learned Counsel for the applicant contends that the applicant is entitled to get 1/4 th share of the amount of General Provident Fund and the amount of Death Gratuity of his deceased father. On the other hand, Mr. Mitra, the Departmental Representative of the respondent A.G., W.B. has relied		benefits of her deceased father.	
was working as permanent government employee in the establishment of respondent no. 3, Block Development Officer, Beldanga-II, Murshidabad. Asit Kumar Sarkar died leaving behind 4 legal heirs namely wife Jyotsna Sarkar, son Atanu Sarkar, married daughter Champa Sarkar and married daughter Sampa Sarkar Das (who happens to be the applicant of the present application). Mr. Sanyal, Learned Counsel for the applicant contends that the applicant is entitled to get 1/4 th share of the amount of General Provident Fund and the amount of Death Gratuity of his deceased father. On the other hand, Mr. Mitra, the Departmental Representative of the respondent A.G., W.B. has relied		It appears from the materials on record that one	
establishment of respondent no. 3, Block Development Officer, Beldanga-II, Murshidabad. Asit Kumar Sarkar died leaving behind 4 legal heirs namely wife Jyotsna Sarkar, son Atanu Sarkar, married daughter Champa Sarkar and married daughter Sampa Sarkar Das (who happens to be the applicant of the present application). Mr. Sanyal, Learned Counsel for the applicant contends that the applicant is entitled to get 1/4 th share of the amount of General Provident Fund and the amount of Death Gratuity of his deceased father. On the other hand, Mr. Mitra, the Departmental Representative of the respondent A.G., W.B. has relied		Asit Kumar Sarkar died on January 01, 2016 while he	
Development Officer, Beldanga-II, Murshidabad. Asit Kumar Sarkar died leaving behind 4 legal heirs namely wife Jyotsna Sarkar, son Atanu Sarkar, married daughter Champa Sarkar and married daughter Sampa Sarkar Das (who happens to be the applicant of the present application). Mr. Sanyal, Learned Counsel for the applicant contends that the applicant is entitled to get 1/4 th share of the amount of General Provident Fund and the amount of Death Gratuity of his deceased father. On the other hand, Mr. Mitra, the Departmental Representative of the respondent A.G., W.B. has relied		was working as permanent government employee in the	
Kumar Sarkar died leaving behind 4 legal heirs namely wife Jyotsna Sarkar, son Atanu Sarkar, married daughter Champa Sarkar and married daughter Sampa Sarkar Das (who happens to be the applicant of the present application). Mr. Sanyal, Learned Counsel for the applicant contends that the applicant is entitled to get 1/4 th share of the amount of General Provident Fund and the amount of Death Gratuity of his deceased father. On the other hand, Mr. Mitra, the Departmental Representative of the respondent A.G., W.B. has relied		establishment of respondent no. 3, Block	
wife Jyotsna Sarkar, son Atanu Sarkar, married daughter Champa Sarkar and married daughter Sampa Sarkar Das (who happens to be the applicant of the present application). Mr. Sanyal, Learned Counsel for the applicant contends that the applicant is entitled to get 1/4 th share of the amount of General Provident Fund and the amount of Death Gratuity of his deceased father. On the other hand, Mr. Mitra, the Departmental Representative of the respondent A.G., W.B. has relied		Development Officer, Beldanga-II, Murshidabad. Asit	
daughter Champa Sarkar and married daughter Sampa Sarkar Das (who happens to be the applicant of the present application). Mr. Sanyal, Learned Counsel for the applicant contends that the applicant is entitled to get 1/4 th share of the amount of General Provident Fund and the amount of Death Gratuity of his deceased father. On the other hand, Mr. Mitra, the Departmental Representative of the respondent A.G., W.B. has relied		Kumar Sarkar died leaving behind 4 legal heirs namely	
Sarkar Das (who happens to be the applicant of the present application). Mr. Sanyal, Learned Counsel for the applicant contends that the applicant is entitled to get 1/4 th share of the amount of General Provident Fund and the amount of Death Gratuity of his deceased father. On the other hand, Mr. Mitra, the Departmental Representative of the respondent A.G., W.B. has relied			
present application). Mr. Sanyal, Learned Counsel for the applicant contends that the applicant is entitled to get 1/4 th share of the amount of General Provident Fund and the amount of Death Gratuity of his deceased father. On the other hand, Mr. Mitra, the Departmental Representative of the respondent A.G., W.B. has relied			
the applicant contends that the applicant is entitled to get 1/4 th share of the amount of General Provident Fund and the amount of Death Gratuity of his deceased father. On the other hand, Mr. Mitra, the Departmental Representative of the respondent A.G., W.B. has relied		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
get 1/4 th share of the amount of General Provident Fund and the amount of Death Gratuity of his deceased father. On the other hand, Mr. Mitra, the Departmental Representative of the respondent A.G., W.B. has relied			
and the amount of Death Gratuity of his deceased father. On the other hand, Mr. Mitra, the Departmental Representative of the respondent A.G., W.B. has relied			
father. On the other hand, Mr. Mitra, the Departmental Representative of the respondent A.G., W.B. has relied			
Representative of the respondent A.G., W.B. has relied			

ORDER SHEET – (Continuation)

Form No.

Page No. 2

Sampa Sarkar Das

Vs

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. OA 5 '	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Serial No. and date of order	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary.
1	2	3
	son of the deceased employee are entitled to get the	
	amount of Death Gratuity in equal half share in terms of	
	Rule 7 (e)(1) of West Bengal Services (death-cum-	
	retirement benefit) Rules, 1971 (in short, the D.C.R.B.	
	Rules, 1971). He further submits that in the absence of	
	any nomination of the deceased government employee,	
	only the wife of the said employee is entitled to get the	
	amount of General Provident Fund in terms of the	
	provisions of Rule 31 (1)(b) of the General Provident	
	Fund (West Bengal Services) Rules, 1935, as the	
	husbands of the married daughters are alive and the son	
	has already attained majority.	
	Having heard both sides and on consideration of	
	the provisions of Rule 7(1)(e)(1) of the D.C.R.B. Rules,	
	1971, we are of the view that the applicant being	
	married daughter is not entitled to get the share of death	
	gratuity as she does not fall within the ambit of the	
	family of the deceased employee for the purpose of grant	
	of Death Gratuity. On consideration of the provisions of	
	Rule 31(1)(b) of the General Provident Fund (West	
	Bengal Services) Rules, 1935 we find that the applicant	
	is not entitled to get the share of General Provident Fund	
	on the grounds that the deceased employee did not	
	nominate any member of the family for receiving the	
	amount of Death Gratuity and that the husband of the	
	applicant being married is alive. In view of our above	

ORDER SHEET – (Continuation)

Form No.

Page No. 3

Sampa Sarkar Das

Vs

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. OA 5 '	76 of 2017	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Serial No. and date of order	Order of the Tribunal with signature		Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary.
1	2		3
	observation, we cannot persuade ourselv	es to grant any	
	share of Death Gratuity and the General	Provident Fund	
	of the deceased father of the applicant	as prayed for.	
	However, the above observation of the	Bench will not	
	affect the right of the applicant to clair	n her share of	
	property of her deceased father in	terms of the	
	provisions of the Hindu Succession Ac	ct, 1956. As a	
	result, the original application is dismissed .		
	S. K. DAS MEMBER(A)	R. K. BAG MEMBER(J)	
Csm			